Multiscale Modelling of Behaviour-induced Critical Regimes during Epidemics

Prof. Mikhail Prokopenko Centre for Complex Systems School of Computer Science, Faculty of Engineering Sydney Institute for Infectious Diseases

Connecting Micro to Macro in Epidemiological Models Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences (INI) Newton Gateway to Mathematics, 18 October 2023





Part 1: Agent-based pandemic modelling



COVID-19 pandemic modelling using ABM

- Large-scale high-resolution agent-based models
 - demographics: from census based data to agents
 - mobility: travel patterns including long-distance
 - *infection*: epidemiology
- AMTraC-19: Agent-based Model of Transmission and Control of the COVID-19 pandemic in Australia (~ 24M agents)
- Model calibration and validation during COVID-19 pandemic
 - 1st stage, ancestral (March June 2020)
 - 2nd stage, ancestral (July September 2020)
 - 3rd stage, Delta (June November 2021)
 - 4th stage, Omicron (December 2021 November 2022)



"Same storm, different boats"



C. Zachreson, K. M. Fair, N. Harding, M. Prokopenko, Interfering with influenza: nonlinear coupling of reactive and static mitigation strategies, *Journal of Royal Society Interface*, 17(165): 20190728, 2020.



- ~24M stochastically generated agents (Census, ABS & ACARA data)
- household size and composition vary across different local areas
- commuting patterns between residence and work / study
- flexible infection seeding scenarios
- transmission within mixing contexts
- different symptomatic ratios for children and adults
- vaccination rollout with two vaccines \circ \circ \circ \circ \Box \Box
- vaccine efficacy split across components (infection, symptoms, transmission)
- varying social distancing ("stay-at-home" restrictions)

S. L. Chang, N. Harding, C. Zachreson, O. M. Cliff, M. Prokopenko, Modelling transmission and control of the COVID-19 pandemic in Australia, *Nature Communications*, 11, 5710, 2020.

C. Zachreson, S. L. Chang, O. M. Cliff, M. Prokopenko, How will mass-vaccination change COVID-19 lockdown requirements in Australia? *The Lancet Regional Health – Western Pacific*, 14: 100224, 2021.

Population partitions: residential areas and destination zones





Fig. 1 Maps of the Greater Sydney region illustrating the distribution of population partitions. (a) A map of the Greater Sydney region showing SA2 (black) and SA1 (red) population partitions. (b) A map of the same area showing SA2 (black) and DZN (red) partitions. The inset in (b) zooms in on the Sydney central business district to illustrate the much denser packing of DZN partitions in that area.

K. M. Fair, C. Zachreson, M. Prokopenko, Creating a surrogate commuter network from Australian Bureau of Statistics census data, *Scientific Data*, 6, 150, 2019.



Transmission probabilities

Mixing context	Type of interaction	Daily transmission probability $(q_{j \to i}^g)$
Household (size 2)	Any to child (0 - 18) Any to adult (19+)	$0.09335 \\ 0.02420$
Household (size 3)	Any to child $(0 - 18)$ Any to adult $(19+)$	$0.05847 \\ 0.01495$
Household (size 4)	Any to child $(0 - 18)$ Any to adult $(19+)$	$0.04176 \\ 0.01061$
Household (size 5)	Any to child $(0 - 18)$ Any to adult $(19+)$	$0.03211 \\ 0.00813$
Household (size 6)	Any to child $(0 - 18)$ Any to adult $(19+)$	$0.02588 \\ 0.00653$
Household Cluster	Child $(0 - 18)$ to child $(0 - 18)$ Child $(0 - 18)$ to adult $(19+)$ Adult $(19+)$ to child $(0 - 18)$ Adult $(19+)$ to adult $(19+)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00400 \\ 0.00400 \\ 0.00400 \\ 0.00400 \end{array}$
Working Group	Adult $(19+)$ to adult $(19+)$	0.00400
School Grade Class	Child (0 - 18) to child (0 - 18) Child (0 - 18) to child (0 - 18) Child (0 - 18) to child (0 - 18)	$0.00029 \\ 0.00158 \\ 0.00865$
Neighborhood	Any to child $(0 - 4)$ Any to child $(5 - 18)$ Any to adult $(19 - 64)$ Any to adult $(65+)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.035\times10^{-5}\\ 1.044\times10^{-5}\\ 2.784\times10^{-5}\\ 5.568\times10^{-5} \end{array}$
Community	Any to child $(0 - 4)$ Any to child $(5 - 18)$ Any to adult $(19 - 64)$ Any to adult $(65+)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.872\times 10^{-6}\\ 2.608\times 10^{-6}\\ 6.960\times 10^{-6}\\ 13.92\times 10^{-6}\end{array}$

Natural history of the disease (the Delta variant)

range: 7 – 14 (uniform)



95% CI



S. L. Chang, O. M. Cliff, C. Zachreson, M. Prokopenko, Simulating Transmission Scenarios of the Delta Variant of SARS-CoV-2 in Australia, *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10, 10.3389/fpubh.2022.823043, 2022.

3.9 - 5.0

6.87 - 6.99

6.16 - 6.23



NPI	Compliance	Interaction strength (micro)		
	(macro)	Household	Community	Workplace
Case isolation	0.7 – 0.8	1.0	0.1 - 0.25	0.1-0.25
Home quarantine	0.5 – 0.7	2.0	0.1 - 0.25	0.1 - 0.25
School (students)	1.0	1.0	0.1 - 0.5	0.0
School (parents)	0.5	1.0	0.1 - 0.5	0.0
Social distancing	0.0 - 1.0	1.0	0.1 – 0.25	0.1

THE UNIVERSITY OF

S. L. Chang, O. M. Cliff, C. Zachreson, M. Prokopenko, Simulating Transmission Scenarios of the Delta Variant of SARS-CoV-2 in Australia, *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10, 10.3389/fpubh.2022.823043, 2022.



Social Distancing (SD): "stay-at-home" restrictions

Table 2 The micro- and macro-distancing parameters: macro-compliance levels and context-dependent micro-distancing levels.

Strategy	Macro-distancing	Micro-distancing o	Micro-distancing contacts		
	Compliance levels	Household	Community	Workplace/school	
No intervention	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Case isolation	70%	100%	25%	25%	
Home quarantine	50%	200%	25%	25%	
School closure (children)	100%	150%	150%	0%	
School closure (parents)	25 or 50%	150%	150%	0%	
Social distancing	0-100%	100%	50%	0%	
	$p_i(n) = 1 - \prod_{g \in G}$	$p_{j \to i}^g(n))$			
$p_i(n) = 1$	$-\prod_{g\in G_i(n)} \left[1-F_g($	$(i) \left(1 - \prod_{j \in A_g \setminus \{ \}} \right)$	$(1 - F_g(j) p_j^g)$	$\left(\sum_{i \to i} (n) \right) \right]$	

S. L. Chang, N. Harding, C. Zachreson, O. M. Cliff, M. Prokopenko, Modelling transmission and control of the COVID-19 pandemic in Australia, *Nature Communications*, 11, 5710, 2020.



Tipping point in social distancing



S. L. Chang, N. Harding, C. Zachreson, O. M. Cliff, M. Prokopenko, Modelling transmission and control of the COVID-19 pandemic in Australia, *Nature Communications*, 11, 5710, 2020.

Tipping point (phase transition) in SD compliance



THE UNIVERSITY OF



Modelling vaccination rollout

 $\hat{\Box}$

- Efficacy for susceptibility (VEs): impacts immunity in those susceptible to the virus (reduces the probability of becoming infected if exposed)
- Efficacy for disease (VEd): impacts the expression of illness in those who are vaccinated and subsequently become infected (reduces the probability of expressing symptoms if infected)
- Efficacy for infectiousness (VEi): impacts the potential for vaccinated individuals to transmit the virus if infected (reduces the force of infection produced by infected individuals who are vaccinated)

	$VE = VEd + VEs - VEs \times VEd$	VEi = ~ 0.5
for example:	$0.91 = 0.7 + 0.7 - 0.7 \times 0.7$	
	$0.92 = 0.8 + 0.6 - 0.8 \times 0.6$	
	0.75 = 0.5 + 0.5 - 0.5 × 0.5	
	$0.65 = 0.5 + 0.3 - 0.5 \times 0.3$	

C. Zachreson, S. L. Chang, O. M. Cliff, M. Prokopenko, How will mass-vaccination change COVID-19 lockdown requirements in Australia? *The Lancet Regional Health – Western Pacific*, 14: 100224, 2021.



Vaccination components

$$p_i(n) = 1 - \prod_{g \in G_i(n)} \left[\prod_{j \in A_g \setminus i} (1 - p_{j \to i}^g(n)) \right]$$

$$p_i(n) = 1 - \prod_{g \in G_i(n)} \left[1 - F_g(i) \left(1 - \prod_{j \in A_g \setminus \{i\}} (1 - F_g(j) \ p_{j \to i}^g(n)) \right) \right]$$

$$p_{i}(n) = 1 - \prod_{g \in G_{i}(n)} \left[1 - (1 - VE_{i}^{s})F_{g}(i) \left(1 - \prod_{j \in A_{g} \setminus i} (1 - (1 - VE_{j}^{t})F_{g}(j) \ p_{j \to i}^{g}(n)) \right) \right]$$

$$\overset{\bigcirc}{\square}$$

$$p_{i}^{d}(n) = (1 - VE_{i}^{d}) \ \sigma_{a|c} \ p_{i}(n)$$

The Delta variant: SD compliance scenarios (25 August \rightarrow 5 November 2021)





S. L. Chang, O. M. Cliff, C. Zachreson, M. Prokopenko, Simulating Transmission Scenarios of the Delta Variant of SARS-CoV-2 in Australia, *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10, 10.3389/fpubh.2022.823043, 2022.

Hospitalisations (occupancy): a threefold reduction for 10% increase in SD





Mortality (cumulative deaths): a two-fold reduction for 10% increase in SD







Part 2: Spatial interaction pandemic modelling





THE UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY

$$\hat{M}_{i} = -\gamma I_{i} + \beta \sum_{j,k} \phi_{ij}^{S}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{C}) \phi_{kj}^{I}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{C}) \frac{S_{i}I_{k}}{\hat{N}_{j}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{C})}$$

 $\hat{N}_{j}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{C}) = \sum_{k} S_{k} \phi_{kj}^{S}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{C}) + I_{k} \phi_{kj}^{I}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{C})$

Benefit
$$b_j = N_j^{-1}(N_j - I_j)$$

N. Harding, R. E. Spinney, M. Prokopenko, Population mobility induced phase separation in SIS epidemic and social dynamics, *Scientific Reports*, 10: 7646, 2020.



Boltzmann-Lotka-Volterra spatial interaction

MaxEnt
Principle
$$H_Y = -\sum_y p_Y(y) \ln p_Y(y)$$

$$\mathsf{B}^{I} = \sum_{i,j} I_{i} \phi_{ij}^{I}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{C}) b_{j} / \sum_{i} I_{i},$$

C

constraints

VS

control parameters

$$B^{S} = \sum_{i,j} S_{i} \phi_{ij}^{S}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{C}) b_{j} / \sum_{i} S_{i}$$
$$C = \sum_{i,j} (I_{i} \phi_{ij}^{I}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{C}) + S_{i} \phi_{ij}^{S}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{C})) c_{ij} / \sum_{i} (I_{i} + S_{i})$$

N. Harding, R. E. Spinney, M. Prokopenko, Population mobility induced phase separation in SIS epidemic and social dynamics, Scientific Reports, 10: 7646, 2020.



Boltzmann-Lotka-Volterra spatial interaction

MaxEnt
Principle
$$H_Y = -\sum_y p_Y(y) \ln p_Y(y)$$



N. Harding, R. E. Spinney, M. Prokopenko, Population mobility induced phase separation in SIS epidemic and social dynamics, *Scientific Reports*, 10: 7646, 2020.

Bounded rationality: four types of dynamics

$$\phi_{ij}^{x}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{C} | \alpha^{x}, \omega) = Z_{x,i}^{-1} \exp\left(\alpha^{x} b_{j} - \omega c_{ij}\right)$$

Behaviour-induced spatial morphology

$$\phi_{ij}^{x}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{C} | \alpha^{x}, \omega) = Z_{x,i}^{-1} \exp\left(\alpha^{x} b_{j} - \omega c_{ij}\right)$$

Spatial morphology: critical regimes

N. Harding, R. E. Spinney, M. Prokopenko, Population mobility induced phase separation in SIS epidemic and social dynamics, *Scientific Reports*, 10: 7646, 2020.

Spatial morphology: Fisher information

N. Harding, R. E. Spinney, M. Prokopenko, Population mobility induced phase separation in SIS epidemic and social dynamics, *Scientific Reports*, 10: 7646, 2020.

- tipping points in pandemic response: compliance with social distancing
- amplification effects of NPIs on disease burden: compliance with social distancing
- ➤ transitions in morphology (spots → labyrinth → gaps): bounded rationality

- K. M. Fair, C. Zachreson, M. Prokopenko, Creating a surrogate commuter network from Australian Bureau of Statistics census data, *Scientific Data*, 6: 150, 2019.
- C. Zachreson, K. M. Fair, N. Harding, M. Prokopenko, Interfering with influenza: nonlinear coupling of reactive and static mitigation strategies, *Journal of Royal Society Interface*, 17(165): 20190728, 2020.
- S. L. Chang, N. Harding, C. Zachreson, O. M. Cliff, M. Prokopenko, Modelling transmission and control of the COVID-19 pandemic in Australia, *Nature Communications*, 11: 5710, 2020.
- C. Zachreson, S. L. Chang, O. M. Cliff, M. Prokopenko, How will mass-vaccination change COVID-19 lockdown requirements in Australia?, *The Lancet Regional Health – Western Pacific*, 14: 100224, 2021.
- S. L. Chang, O. M. Cliff, C. Zachreson, M. Prokopenko, Simulating Transmission Scenarios of the Delta Variant of SARS-CoV-2 in Australia, *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10, 10.3389/fpubh.2022.823043, 2022.
- N. Harding, R. E. Spinney, M. Prokopenko, Population mobility induced phase separation in SIS epidemic and social dynamics, *Scientific Reports*, 10: 7646, 2020.
- N. Harding, R. Nigmatullin, M. Prokopenko, Thermodynamic efficiency of contagions: a statistical mechanical analysis of the SIS epidemic model, *Interface Focus*, 8 20180036, 2018.